

47155
RECEIVED
JAN 22 1996

STATE OF UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
Telephone: (801) 538-5340
Fax: (801) 359-3940

ANNUAL REPORT OF MINING OPERATIONS

The informational requirements of this form are based on provisions of the Mined Land Reclamation Act, Title 40-8, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended, and the General Rules as promulgated under the Utah Minerals Regulatory Program. An operator conducting mining operations under a Notice of Intention must file an annual operations and progress report (FORM MR-AR) with the Division.

I. General Information

1. Report Time Period: From (mo./yr.) 1/95 To (mo./yr.) 12/31/95
 2. DOGM File Number (Mine No): 5 / 047 / 055
 3. Mine Name: ML 7920 / Rock Asphalt #1
 4. Mineral(s) Mined (or permitted to mine): Natural Asphalt / Tar Sand
 5. Type of mine ☒ Surface Mine or ☐ Underground Mine
 6. Legal Description (Location of Lands Affected):
____ 1/4, ____ 1/4, Section _____, Township _____, Range _____
____ 1/4, ____ 1/4, Section _____, Township _____, Range _____
____ 1/4, ____ 1/4, Section _____, Township _____, Range _____
 7. Name of Operator or Company: Rock Asphalt Co.
 8. Permanent Street Address : 2049 Herbert Ave.
City, State, Zip: SLC UT 84108
Phone: 801 596 0700
 9. Company Representative (or designated operator):
Name: Kimball Young
Title: _____
Business Address: Suite 1525 60 E. South Temple
City, State, Zip: SLC UT 84111
Phone: 801 596 0700
- ☒ Please check if any of the above information has changed since previous year.

II. Mining and Reclamation

1. Was the mine active during the past year? Yes ☐ No ☒
2. If active, how much ore or mineral was mined? _____

3. How much **additional acreage** was disturbed during the past year? see attached DOEM Report
4. Briefly describe any new or additional surface disturbances that occurred during the past year. This description should include the type of work performed, and volume of material moved.

5. How much acreage was **reclaimed** during the past year? 100%

6. Briefly describe the reclamation work performed during the past year. This description should include methods employed, and an evaluation of the results.

see attached DOEM report

7. What is the **total disturbed acreage** at years end? _____

8. Briefly summarize any mining and/or reclamation plans for the upcoming year.

None

NOTE: Section III., "Additional Information" applies only to large mining operations.

III. Additional Information

1. An updated surface facilities map should be attached if there have been significant changes since the previous map was submitted.
2. Any monitoring results or other reports that are required under the terms of the approved notice of intention should also be attached.

IV. Signature Requirement

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Name (Typed or Print):

Kimball L. Young

Title of Operator:

Signature of Operator:

K. Young

Date:

1/15/96



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Ted Stewart
Executive Director

James W. Carter
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-5319 (TDD)

January 10, 1996

TO: Minerals File

FROM: Tony Gallegos, Reclamation Engineer *aa*

RE: Site Inspection, Rock Asphalt Company, ML 7920 Project, S/047/055, Uintah County, Utah

Date of Inspection: December 11, 1995
Time of Inspection: 11:15 - 11:55
Conditions: Partly cloudy, warm
Participants: Kim Young, Permittee; Bruce Bristol and Gerold Christensen, Uintah County;
Tony Gallegos, DOGM

Purpose of Inspection: To evaluate the recent regrading work done at the site prior to final seeding.

The inspection began by meeting at the Uintah County offices, just outside of Vernal. We caravanned from there to the mine site. Uintah County had been conducting the mining operations at the site and also performed the reclamation. The site disturbance had grown since the last Division inspection. The disturbed area could now be described as three adjacent areas connected by the existing road (a north area, a central area and a southern area). The disturbance which was present during the previous Division inspection is what I am calling the north area. The other two areas (central and south) are new disturbances since the last inspection.

At the time of this inspection, all the areas have been regraded. The regraded soils appear to be fairly loose and without a crust. The asphalt in this area was not of sufficient quantity to make it feasible for the county to begin mining operations here; so they have reclaimed the disturbances they created. The southern disturbance is located on the slope of a hill and includes some other level areas. The south area is probably 100 feet square in size. The central area, which includes a small outcrop in the center, is approximately 100 feet by 80 feet. The northern area is approximately 250 feet square.

The regraded areas have been left in a roughened condition. Dozer cleats are the main surface roughness. The County fellows that accompanied us are not the ones that performed the work. A piece of plywood was covering an open drill hole on the site. The hole was approximately 6 inches in diameter and had water in the bottom. Messrs. Bristol and Christensen did not know why the hole had not been plugged. Neither of them had been involved with the reclamation at this site which was performed approximately two weeks ago.



Page 2
Site Inspection
M/047/055
January 10, 1996

I suggested the seeding at this site be performed as soon as possible, while the soils were still loose. The county has some of the seed mix used in reclamation at the county asphalt mine available and they asked if that would be acceptable for this site. The Division normally does not have a seed mix developed for a small mine permit, so the use of that seed mix at this site would be acceptable. They suggested I contact Lonnie Hogan at the county offices tomorrow to discuss the seeding, seedmix, and drill hole plugging. Lonnie could not attend this inspection because he was out of town.

Photographs were taken of the various portions of the site visited. These photos can be compared with the previous photos taken; however, the existing file photos only show the north area.

In the northeast portion of the north area, is a flat area that has not been regraded or broken up. This area currently has a hard surface crust and small amounts of vegetation, mostly unfavorable plants such as russian thistle. The previous photographs of the site will need to be examined to see if this area should also have been reclaimed.

NOTE: On December 18, 1995, I spoke with Lonnie Hogan over the phone. Lonnie stated they seeded the site the day after the inspection. They also filled the open drillhole with sand.

cc: Kim Young, Permittee
Will Stokes, SITLA (ML 7920)
S047055.ins